

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.repto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/665,305	09/22/2003		Thomas Goering	11884-400201	5450	
53000	7590	02/20/2008		EXAM	UNER	
KENYON & KENYON LLP						
1500 K STREET N.W.						
WASHINGTON, DC 20005				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	

DATE MAILED: 02/20/2008

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Notification of Non-Compliant Appeal Brief (37 CFR 41.37)

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/665,305	GOERING, THOMAS	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Rachna Singh	2176	

⁻⁻The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address-

The Ap	peal Brief filed on 12/06/07 is defective for failure to comply with one or more provisions of 37 CFR 41.37.
1205.0	id dismissal of the appeal, applicant must file anamended brief or other appropriate correction (see MPEP 3) within ONE MONTH or THIRTY DAYS from the mailing date of this Notification, whichever is longer. ISIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136.
1. 🔲	The brief does not contain the items required under 37 CFR 41.37(c), or the items are not under the proper heading or in the proper order.
2. 🔲	The brief does not contain a statement of the status of all claims, (e.g., rejected, allowed, withdrawn, objected to, canceled), or does not identify the appealed claims (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iii)).
3. 🗆	At least one amendment has been filed subsequent to the final rejection, and the brief does not contain a statement of the status of each such amendment (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iv)).
4. 🛚	(a) The brief does not contain a concise explanation of the subject matter defined in each of the independent claims involved in the appeal, referring to the specification by page and line number and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters; and/or (b) the brief fails to: (1) identify, for each independent claim involved in the appeal and for each dependent claim argued separately, every means plus function and step plus function under 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph, and/or (2) set forth the structure, material, or acts described in the specification as corresponding to each claimed function with reference to the specification by page and line number, and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(v)).
5. 🗌	The brief does not contain a concise statement of each ground of rejection presented for review (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vi))
6. 🗆	The brief does not present an argument under a separate heading for each ground of rejection on appeal (37 CFR $41.37(c)(1)(vii)$).
7. 🗌	The brief does not contain a correct copy of the appealed claims as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(viii)).
8. 🗌	The brief does not contain copies of the evidence submitted under 37 CFR 1.130, 1.131, or 1.132 or of any other evidence entered by the examiner and relied upon by appellant in the appeal, along with a statement setting forth where in the record that evidence was entered by the examiner, as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(ix)).
9. 🗆	The brief does not contain copies of the decisions rendered by a court or the Board in the proceeding identified in the Related Appeals and Interferences section of the brief as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)).
10.🛛	Other (including any explanation in support of the above items):
	The Brief fails to map every limitation and the subject matter of each independent claim to the Specification, Particularly, Appellant should map the recited "output modules" to those portions of the Specification that describe these elements in detail.
	/Rachna Singh/